Tpo 46

The reading states that electronic medical record system has more benefits than traditional paper- based record. On the other hand, the professor doubts on the ideas brought up by the author and believe that benefits claimed are uncertain. Further, she offers some counterclaims to refute them all.

According to the reading passage, paper records need a lot of storage space which causes a lot costs. However, in the lecture, the woman casts doubt it and argues that even if doctors use electronic records, they will still use paper to note emergency information. Furthermore, they should sometimes keep paper with signature of patients for legal reasons. Thus they cannot discontinue the paper records.

The author of the article explains that electronic medical records reduce errors. Although the lecture opposes it and discusses that electronic records cannot prevent mistakes made by poor handwriting or mistakes in transcription by doctors or staff. Since while examining, most doctors still note by hand and then these notes are transferred to electronic medical records. so it is probably that staff members do some interruptions. As a result, it is not a certain benefit.

Finally, the article expresses electronic medical records can be very helpful in medical research. The listening rejects it and clarifies that it is not a realistic idea. Since the access to patients’ medical information is usually illegal in the United States. it is necessary to have some permissions from patients. Besides, most patients prefer to block information their treatment. Therefore, electronic system cannot be advantageous in this case.